

INDIVIDUAL RISK VERSUS IMMEDIATE REPRODUCTIVE SUCCESS: A BASIS FOR LATITUDINAL DIFFERENCES IN THE ADRENOCORTICAL RESPONSE TO STRESS IN YELLOW WARBLERS (DENDROICA PETECHIA)

Authors: Wilson, C. Morgan, and Holberton, Rebecca L.

Source: The Auk, 122(1): 378

Published By: American Ornithological Society

URL: https://doi.org/10.1642/0004-

8038(2005)122[0378:IRVIRS]2.0.CO;2

The BioOne Digital Library (https://bioone.org/) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (https://bioone.org/subscribe), the BioOne Complete Archive (https://bioone.org/archive), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commmercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



Erratum

C. Morgan Wilson and Rebecca L. Holberton

INDIVIDUAL RISK VERSUS IMMEDIATE REPRODUCTIVE SUCCESS: A BASIS FOR LATITUDINAL DIFFERENCES IN THE ADRENOCORTICAL RESPONSE TO STRESS IN YELLOW WARBLERS (DENDROICA PETECHIA)

Department of Biology, University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA

Because of an editorial error, the results of several correlation analyses were reported incorrectly on pages 1241 and 1243–1244 in Wilson and Holberton (Auk 121:1238–1249). In each case in which the results of a correlation analysis were reported, the second *r*-value

given should have been identified as the critical value for the analysis. In addition, each correlation analysis should have been identified as a two-tailed test, using an alpha value of 0.05 and the sample size for the appropriate group (values reported elsewhere in the manuscript).