

Molecular Detection of Nosema apis and N. Ceranae from Southwestern and South Central USA Feral Africanized and European Honey Bees, Apis mellifera (Hymenoptera: Apidae)

Authors: Szalanski, Allen L., Tripodi, Amber D., and Trammel, Clinton

E.

Source: Florida Entomologist, 97(2): 585-589

Published By: Florida Entomological Society

URL: https://doi.org/10.1653/024.097.0233

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

MOLECULAR DETECTION OF *NOSEMA APIS* AND *N. CERANAE* FROM SOUTHWESTERN AND SOUTH CENTRAL USA FERAL AFRICANIZED AND EUROPEAN HONEY BEES, *APIS MELLIFERA* (HYMENOPTERA: APIDAE)

ALLEN L. SZALANSKI*, AMBER D. TRIPODI AND CLINTON E. TRAMMEL Department of Entomology, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701, USA

*Corresponding author; E-mail: aszalan@uark.edu

Abstract

A Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) molecular diagnostic survey for the honey bee pathogens Nosema apis Zander and N. ceranae Fries was conducted on feral Africanized honey bee (AHB) and European honey bee (EHB), Apis mellifera L., populations sampled from Mississippi, Arkansas, Texas, Utah, Oklahoma, and New Mexico. Polymerase Chain Reaction - Restriction Fragment Length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) analysis of a 220 bp small subunit (SSU) marker was conducted on 517 samples, consisting of 245 AHB and 272 EHB individuals. A total of 43 samples (8.3%) were positive for Nosema; of these, 82.1% were N. ceranae, and the remainder were N. apis. No mixed samples were observed. For the AHB samples, Nosema was detected in 9.0% of the samples with 89.5% of the Nosema identified as N. ceranae, and 10.5% as N. apis. With the EHB samples, 7.7% had Nosema; 75.0% of these with N. ceranae, and 25.0% with N. apis. No significant difference was observed between AHB and EHB feral samples for occurrence of each Nosema species and prevalence of Nosema infection. Among the AHB samples, Nosema was more common in Utah and Texas than in New Mexico and Oklahoma. Nosema infection rates for feral honey bees was considerably lower than levels observed with managed honey bees in studies from New York, South Dakota, and Virginia.

Key Words: honey bee, molecular diagnostics, Nosema

RESUMEN

Se realizó un sondeo diagnóstico molecular de la reacción en cadena de la polimerasa (RCP) para los patógenos de las abejas de miel Nosema apis Zander y N. ceranae Fries en las abejas de miel, Apis mellifera L, Africanizadas (AMA) salvajes y Europeas (AME), en poblaciones muestreadas de Mississippi, Arkansas, Texas, Utah, Oklahoma y Nuevo México. Se realizó un análisis de la reacción en cadena de la polimerasa de los fragmentos de restricción de longitud polimórfica (PCR- RFLP) de una subunidad pequeña (USP) de un marcador de 220 pb en 517 muestras, que contenia 245 individuos de AMA y 272 de AME. Un total de 43 muestras (8.3%) fueron positivas para Nosema, de éstas 82.1% fue N. ceranae, y el resto fue N. apis. No se observaron muestras mixtas. Se detectó Nosema en 9.0% de las muestras de AMA, con el 89.5% de la Nosema identificada como N. ceranae y el 10.5% como N. apis. Con las muestras de AME, el 7.7% tenían Nosema; el 75.0% de éstas fue N. ceranae, y el 25.0% fue N. apis. No se observó ninguna diferencia significativa entre las muestras de las abejas salvajes de AMA y AME para la ocurrencia de cada especie de Nosema y la prevalencia de la infección por Nosema. Entre las muestras de AMA, el Nosema fue más común en Utah y Texas que en Nuevo México y Oklahoma. La tasa de infección por Nosema en las abejas salvajes fueron considerablemente menor que los niveles observados en las abejas manejadas en los estudios de Nueva York, Dakota del Sur y Virginia.

Palabras Clave: abeja de la miel, diagnóstico molecular, Nosema

Nosema apis Zander, is a microsporidian pathogen of honey bees, Apis mellifera L., causing nosemosis in its host (Bailey & Ball 1991; Fries 1997). Nosema apis was first observed in the United States in 1910 (White 1914), and was assumed to be the only Nosema species infecting honey bees in the country. Another Nosema species, N. ceranae Fries, was observed in the Asian honey bee, Apis cerana F., by Fries et al.

(1996), and then first reported in *A. mellifera* in 2004 (Higes et al. 2006). Further studies have revealed *N. ceranae* has been present in the United States since at least 1995 (Chen et al. 2008), and in Europe since 1998 (Paxton et al. 2007). *Nosema ceranae* is highly pathogenic in honey bees (Higes et al. 2008) and is associated with reduced honey production and increased winter mortality (Higes et al. 2006). Molecular diagnostics using Poly-

merase Chain Reaction (PCR) primers specific for Nosema ceranae and N. apis are well established and have been conducted on managed honey bee populations from Turkey (Whitaker et al. 2011), Argentina (Medici et al. 2012), Crotia (Gajger et al. 2010) the United States (Chen et al. 2008; Chapon et al. 2009; Traver & Fell 2011; Szalanski et al. in press), and Canada (Williams et al. 2008). Despite these studies on the occurrence of Nosema apis and N. ceranae in managed honey bees in the United States, it is unknown if there is a different rate of infection with feral populations of European honey bees (EHB) or with Africanized honey bees (AHB) in the United States. Feral honey bees can be defined as those sourced from established, unmanaged colonies in a tree, building, or other cavities (Schiff et al. 1994). Only one study has been conducted on Nosema from feral honey bees in the United States. Gilliam & Tabler (1991) found N. apis from one out of seven feral honey bee colonies sampled in Arizona. A recent study by Teixeira et al. (2013) has documented that N. ceranae has been present in AHB in Brazil since 1979, confirming its presence in A. mellifera considerably earlier than was previously assumed. The objectives of this study were to determine how widespread *Nosema ceranae* and *N*. apis are in feral honey bee populations in south central and southwestern United States, and if there are any differences in *Nosema* infection rate between feral AHB and EHB populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Honey bee specimens were collected from Arkansas, Mississippi, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Texas, and Utah as part of other studies on genetic variation of feral EHB and AHB populations (Szalanski & McKern 2007; Szalanski & Magnus 2010) and for this study (Table 1, Fig. 1). Worker honey bees were collected from established colonies in trees, buildings, or other cavities from 1991 to 2010 and were preserved in 70-90% ethanol. The sample unit in this study was a single colony, with the number of worker honey bees collected for each sample ranging from 10 to 50

individuals. DNA was extracted separately from individual worker honey bee thoraces (AHB/EHB testing) and abdomens (*Nosema* testing) using a salting-out procedure with in-house reagents (Sambrook & Russell 2001). Molecular diagnostics using multiplex Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) of a mitochondrial DNA cytochrome *b* marker (Szalanski & McKern 2007) was used to determine if the sample was AHB or EHB. Two individuals were tested from each sample.

Molecular detection of Nosema was conducted using the associated abdominal DNA extractions, with PCR primers that were designed by finding conserved regions in aligned Nosema small subunit (SSU) sequences obtained from GenBank (Geneious v6.1.6, Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand). The PCR primers that were developed were NosemaSSU-1F (5'-ACAATATGTATTAG-ATCTGATATA-3') and NosemaSSU-1R (5'-TAAT-GATATGCTTAAGTTCAAAG-3'). These primers amplify a 222 bp (N. apis) or 237bp (N. ceranae) region of a SSU marker for Nosema. Thermocycler conditions were: an initial denaturation of 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94 °C for 45s, 50 °C for 60s and 72 °C for 60 s, and a final extension of 5 min at 72 °C. PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels, and PCR products were visualized under UV light (BioDocit Imaging System, UVP, LLC, Upland, California).

Geneious software was then used to evaluate restriction enzymes which would distinguish N. ceranae from N. apis using PCR-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP). Samples which exhibited an amplicon approximately 230 bp in length were subjected to PCR-RFLP using the restriction enzymes Dra I, which exclusively cuts N. ceranae at 79 bp, and Rsa I, which only cuts N. apis at 130 bp. For each digestion, 2 ul of PCR product, 0.4 µL restriction enzyme, 1 µL enzyme buffer, and 6.2 µl ultrapure water were incubated at 37 °C overnight. An enzyme-negative control was included by substituting ultrapure water for the enzyme. Resulting products were visualized as above. Estimates of amplicon size were made by visual comparison to a 100 bp

Table 1. Number of samples from six states and year of sample for this study on the molecular detection of Nosema from feral *Apis mellifera*.

State	1991	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	n
Arkansas	0	0	0	1	0	10	24	4	45
Mississippi	0	0	0	0	0	10	24	0	29*
New Mexico	0	0	13	19	16	5	0	0	53
Oklahoma	0	9	45	31	27	18	0	0	130
Texas	12	0	83	8	23	13	1	0	140
Utah	0	0	0	0	0	4	105	11	119
n	12	9	141	59	66	60	154	15	517

^{*}Five samples from Mississippi had no associated date.




Fig. 1. Feral Africanized (AHB) and European honey bee (EHB) sample locations.

ladder. Amplified DNA from 2 *N. ceranae* and 3 *N. apis* positive PCR-RFLP samples were purified, concentrated with PES 30k filter devices (VWR, Radnor, Pennsylvania), and sent for direct sequencing in both directions (University of Arkansas Medical School, Little Rock, Arkansas). Consensus sequences were constructed using Geneious v6.1.6. Identification of samples was then confirmed using *N. ceranae* and *N. apis* SSU sequences from GenBank. Statistical analysis was conducted using pairwise comparisons via Fisher's exact tests (Sokal & Rohlf 1969).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 517 feral A. mellifera samples (245 AHB, 272 EHB), collected from Arkansas,

Mississippi, Texas, Utah, Oklahoma, and New Mexico from 1991 to 2010, were subjected to PCR-RFLP analysis for molecular identification of Nosema apis and N. ceranae (Table 1, Fig. 1). Of these, 43 samples (8.3%) were positive for Nosema, with 82.1% being N. ceranae, and the remainder were N. apis (Table 2). No mixed samples were observed. For the AHB samples, Nosema was detected in 9.0% of the samples with 89.5% of the *Nosema* identified as N. ceranae, and 10.5% as N. apis. With the EHB samples, 7.7% had Nosema, with 75.0% of these with N. ceranae, and 25.0% with N. apis. There was no significant difference in Nosema infection rate (P = 0.635), or the frequency of *N. apis* and N. ceranae infections (P = 0.407) between AHB and EHB populations.

Table 2. Occurrence of Nosema ceranae and N. Apis in Africanized Honey Bee (AHB) and European Honey Bee (EHB) colonies from six states.

State (n)	AHB (n)	% Nosema positive, species (%)	EHB (n)	% Nosema positive, species (%)
AR (45)	1	0%	44	8.9%; N. ceranae (100%)
MS (29)	0		29	3.4%; N. ceranae (33%); N. apis (67%)
TX (141)	101	12%; N. ceranae (83%); N. apis (17%)	40	22%; N. ceranae (78%); N. apis (12%)
UT (119)	67	9%; N. ceranae (100%)	52	8%; N. ceranae (100%)
NM (53)	35	3%; N. ceranae (100%)	18	0%
OK (130)	41	7%; Nosema sp. (100%)	89	3%; N. ceranae (67%); N. apis (33%)
All	245	9%; N. ceranae (89%); N. apis (11%)	272	8%; N. ceranae (75%); N. apis (25%)

Prevalence of *Nosema* from AHB was highest in Texas (12%) and lowest in New Mexico (3%), although there was no significant difference (P = 0.183). From the EHB, results were similar to the AHB, with *Nosema* most common in Texas (22%) and lowest in New Mexico (0% infection) (P = 0.003). There was a significant difference in overall *Nosema* infection for feral honey bees in Oklahoma compared to Texas (P = 0.007), and between Texas and New Mexico (P = 0.010), but not between Oklahoma and Utah (P = 0.302), and Texas and Utah (P = 0.126).

This is the first study to document the occurrence of both N. ceranae and N. apis from feral EHB and AHB in the United States. The only previous study which observed N. apis from feral honey bees in the United States was limited in scope and only found 1 colony out of 7 in Arizona infected with N. apis (Gilliam & Tabler 1991). We also found evidence that *N. ceranae* has been present in AHB colonies in Texas since 2005. A recent study by Teixeira et al. (2013) documented the existence of N. ceranae in a sample of AHB drones from Brazil that were collected 34 yr ago. This suggests that N. ceranae may have followed AHB into the United States as AHB expanded northward. We did not detect Nosema from the 1991 and 2004 Texas samples, but the small sample sizes (17 and 10, respectively) may be the reason for not detecting it. It may also be that, as Teixeira et al. (2013) suggest, microscopy-based detection of *Nosema* prior to the molecular age did not distinguish species as well as modern methods.

Several statewide *Nosema* surveys have been conducted on managed honey bee colonies in the United States, Traver & Fell (2011) studied 586 managed A. mellifera colonies from Virginia in 2009 using real time PCR to detect Nosema. They found 37% of the colonies to have *Nosema* with 97.3% infected with *N. ceranae* and 2.7% with *N.* apis. Szalanski et al. (2013) found 31% of 1,200 apiaries in New York and 44% of 1,009 apiaries in South Dakota to have Nosema based on spore counts. Of the samples subjected to molecular diagnostics they found 96% of the New York spore positive samples to have N. ceranae, 3% co-infected with N. ceranae and N. apis, and 1% with N. apis. All of their South Dakota samples subjected to molecular diagnostics were positive for N. ceranae. In the present study, the percentage of feral honey bees infected with Nosema (8.3%) was considerably lower than the levels observed in managed honey bees (31-44%). This is not surprising, since it has been documented that management of honey bee colonies can increase the infection rate of *Nosema* in apiaries. For example, Oertel (1967) observed that opening honey bee colonies can increase the presence of N. apis, and Moeller (1978) noted that honey bee equipment from colonies that died over winter can be a source of Nosema for new colonies established by package bees or divisions. *Nosema*-contaminated honey supers or brood chambers can also inoculate uninfected colonies in the summer (Moeller 1978).

Among all 6 sampled states, Nosema sp. occurrence was lowest in the Spring and highest in the Winter (Table 3). Studies in Germany (Gisder et al. 2010) and in Virginia (Traver et al. 2012) have revealed that *Nosema* infection levels were highest in the Spring and lower in the Fall and Winter. It is difficult to draw conclusions from our dataset on the seasonality of Nosema prevalence given the broad geographical distribution of our samples, uneven sampling throughout the years, and the low incidence of *Nosema*-positive samples. Robust, regular sampling throughout the region, as conducted by Gisder et al. (2010) in Germany, would be required to determine if there are seasonal differences in Nosema infection rates that are driven by climate.

We found that *Nosema* was widespread throughout the southwestern and south central United States. Nosema ceranae was present in all sampled states, yet N. apis was only present in Mississippi, Texas, and Oklahoma. Despite N. ceranae being first detected in the United States as recently as 1995 (Chen et al. 2008), N. ceranae seems more common in both managed and feral populations of honey bees than N. apis, although increased sample sizes are needed to determine if this is truly the case. Our study is the first to report that *N. ceranae* occurs in feral AHB populations within the United States. Although our work did not show a difference in *Nosema* species occurrence between AHB and EHB populations, most (98%) of our samples were collected after the known occurrence of N. ceranae in the United States. If samples collected in the past become available, the molecular identification techniques employed in this study should prove useful in further investigations of the invasion history of Nosema and AHB into the United States.

TABLE 3. SEASONAL OCCURANCE OF *NOSEMA* IN AFRI-CANIZED HONEY BEE (AHB) AND EUROPEAN HONEY BEE (EHB) COLONIES FROM SIX STATES.

Month	N	Nosema +	Nosema –	% Nosema +
Jan	13	2	11	15.4%
Feb	15	0	15	0.0%
Mar	44	1	43	2.3%
Apr	37	3	34	8.1%
May	88	4	84	4.5%
Jun	119	4	115	3.4%
Jul	62	8	54	12.9%
Aug	77	9	68	11.7%
Sep	73	5	68	6.8%
Oct	83	10	73	12.0%
Nov	19	2	17	10.5%
Dec	21	3	15	14.3%

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ed Levi, Richard Grantham, Richard Houseman, John Wagner, Grant Gillard, Lisa Bradley, Clarence Collison, Barry Smith, and Danielle Downey for providing samples. We thank Roxane Magnus and Jackie McKern for assisting with genetic analysis. Research was supported in part by the University of Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station.

REFERENCES CITED

- BAILEY, L., AND BALL, B. V. 1991. Honey bee pathology. Academic Press, London, UK.
- CHAPON, L., ELLIS, M. D., AND SZALANSKI, A. L. 2009. Nosema and tracheal mites in the North Central region - 2008 survey. Proc. American Bee Res. Conf. American Bee J. 149: 585-586.
- CHEN, Y., EVANS, J. D., SMITH, I. B., AND PETTIS, J. S. 2008. Nosema ceranae is a long-present and widespread microsporidian infection of the European honey bee (Apis mellifera) in the United States. J. Invert. Pathol. 97: 186-188.
- FRIES, I. 1997. Protozoa, pp. 59-76 In R. A. Morse and K. Flottum [eds.], Honey bee pests, predators and diseases. A. I. Root, Medina, OH.
- FRIES, I. M., FENG, F., DA SILVA, A. J., SLEMENDA, S. B., AND PIENIAZEK, N. J. 1996. Nosema ceranae n. sp. (Microsporidia: Nosematidae), morphological and molecular characterization of a microsporidian parasite of the Asian honey bee Apis cerana (Hymenoptera, Apidae). European J. Protistol. 32: 356-365.
- GAJGER, T., VUGREK, O., GRILEC, D., AND PETRINEC, Z. 2010. Prevalence and distribution of *Nosema eranae* in Croatian honeybee colonies. Veterinarni Medicina 55: 457-462.
- GILLIAM, M., AND TABER, S. 1991. Diseases, pests, and normal microflora of honeybees, *Apis mellifera*, from feral colonies. J. Invert. Pathol. 58: 286-289.
- GISDER, S., HEDTKE, K., MOCKEL, N., FRIELITZ, M-C., LINDE, A., AND GENERSCH, E. 2010. Five-year cohort study of *Nosema* spp. in Germany: Does climate shape virulence and assertiveness of *Nosema ceranae*?. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 76: 3032-3038.
- HIGES, M., MARTIN, R., AND MEANA, A. 2006. Nosema ceranae, a new microsporidian parasite in honey bees in Europe. J. Invert. Pathol. 92: 93-95.
- HIGES, M., MARTIN-HERNANDEZ, R., BOTIAS, C., BAILON, E. G., GONZALEZ-PORTO, A. V., BARRIOS, L., JESUS DEL NOZAL, M., BERNAL, J. L., JIMENEZ, J. J., PALENCIA, P. G., AND MEANA, A. 2008. How natural infection by *Nosema ceranae* causes honeybee colony collapse. Environ. Microbiol. 10: 2659-2669.
- L'ARRIVEE, J. C. M. 1965. Sources of *Nosema* infection. American Bee J. 105: 246-248.

- MEDICI, S. K., SARLO, E. G., PORRINI, M. P., BRAUN-STEIN, M., AND EGUARAS, M. J. 2012. Genetic variation and widespread dispersal of *Nosema ceranae* in *Apis mellifera* apiaries from Argentina. Parasitol. Res. 110: 859-864.
- MOELLER, F. E. 1978. *Nosema* disease its control in honey bee colonies. USDA Tech. Bull. No. 1569.
- OERTEL, E. 1967. Colony disturbance and *Nosema* disease. J. Apicul. Res. 6: 119-120.
- PAXTON, R. J., KLEE, J., KORPELA, S., AND FRIES, I. 2007. Nosema ceranae has infected Apis mellifera in Europe since at least 1998 and may be more virulent than Nosema apis. Apidologie 38: 558-565.
- SAMBROOK, J., AND RUSSELL, D. W. 2001. Molecular Cloning. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Schiff, N. M., Sheppard, W. S., Loper, G. M., and Shimanuki, H. 1994. Genetic diversity of feral honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) populations in the southern United States. Ann. Entomol. Soc. America 87: 842-848.
- SOKAL, R. R., AND F. J. ROHLF. 1969. Biometry: The principles and practice of statistics in biological research. W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, CA. 776 pp.
- SZALANSKI, A. L., AND MCKERN, J. A. 2007. Multiplex PCR-RFLP diagnostics of the Africanized honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Sociobiol. 50: 939-945.
- SZALANSKI, A. L., AND MAGNUS, R. M. 2010. Mitochondrial DNA characterization of Africanized honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) populations from the USA. J. Apicult. Res Bee World 49: 177-185.
- SZALANSKI, A. L., WHITAKER, J., TRIPODI, A. D., AND CAPPY, P. 2013. Prevalence of *Nosema* from managed honey bee colonies from South Dakota and New York, USA. J. Agricul. Urban Entomol. 29: (in press).
- Teixeira, E. W., Dos Santos, L. G., Sattler, A., Message, D., Alves, M. L. T. M. F., Martins, M. F., Grassi-Sella, M. L., and Francoy, T. M. 2013. *Nosema ceranae* has been present in Brazil for more than 3 decades infecting Africanized honey bees. J. Invert. Pathol. 114: 250-254.
- Traver, B. E., and Fell, R. D. 2011. Prevalence and infection intensity of *Nosema* in honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) colonies in Virginia. J. Invert. Pathol. 107: 43-49.
- WHITAKER, J., SZALANSKI, A. L., AND KENCE, M. 2011. Molecular detection of *Nosema ceranae* from Turkish honey bees. Apidologie 42: 174-180.
- WHITE, G. F. 1914. Destruction of germs of infectious bee diseases by heating. USDA Bull.No. 92.
- WILLIAMS, G. R., SHAFER, A. B. A., ROGERS, R. E. L., SHUTLER, D., AND STEWART, D. T. 2008. First detection of *Nosema ceranae*, a microsporidian parasite of European honey bees (*Apis mellifera*), in Canada and central USA. J. Invert. Pathol. 97: 189-192.