

Does Megaselia Scalaris (Diptera: Phoridae) Have Potential as a Biological Control Agent of Fall Armyworm?

Authors: Acevedo-Alcalá, Adriana, Lomeli-Flores, J. Refugio, Rodríguez-Leyva, Esteban, Rodríguez-Rodríguez, Susana E., and Ortiz-Andrade, Eréndira

Source: Florida Entomologist, 106(1): 56-58

Published By: Florida Entomological Society

URL: https://doi.org/10.1653/024.106.0109

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Scientific Notes

Does *Megaselia scalaris* (Diptera: Phoridae) have potential as a biological control agent of fall armyworm?

Adriana Acevedo-Alcalá¹, J. Refugio Lomeli-Flores¹,*, Esteban Rodríguez-Leyva¹, Susana E. Rodríguez-Rodríguez¹, Eréndira Ortiz-Andrade¹

Megaselia scalaris (Loew) (Diptera: Phoridae) has been collected from dead insects in laboratory colonies, as well as from some live insects (Zwart et al. 2005; Disney 2008). Megaselia is one of the genera with the largest number of species in Phoridae (Furukawa & Kaneko 1981), with certain species adapting to various biological and environmental conditions. They can act as saprophagous, necrophagous, facultative parasites, and some species even cause myiasis in vertebrates (Koller et al. 2003; Disney 2008).

Two recent works reported *M. scalaris* as a parasitoid of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), and it was suggested as a potential biological control agent for this pest (Ruiz-Nájera et al. 2007; Tang et al. 2021). However, there is no empirical data to be sure that this species feeds on live larvae. The objective of this work was to identify the larval development of *M. scalaris* on *S. frugiperda* under laboratory conditions.

A laboratory colony of M. scalaris began with 20 adults that emerged during summer of 2020 from a colony of S. frugiperda maintained on a meridic diet in the Biological Control laboratory of the Colegio de Postgraduados, Montecillo, Texcoco, Mexico, following the methodology described by Jaraleño-Teniente et al. (2020). The rearing of M. scalaris was conducted in laboratory constructed transparent plastic cages (23 × 17 × 17 cm), with 2 holes covered with muslin to promote ventilation. To feed the adults, drops of honey were placed on the walls of the cage, and water was supplied in a cotton wick inserted in a 10 mL glass bottle (Vitro envases, Monterrey, Nuevo León, Mexico), which were replaced every 48 h. In addition, 1 cm³ of diet and 2 to 3 dead and live larvae of third and fifth instars of S. frugiperda also were introduced every 3 to 5 d. Using Borgmeier's keys (1966), and the description of Alam et al. (2016), we corroborated that M. scalaris was the only species in the rearing. In addition, some voucher specimens were deposited in the Collection of Insects of the Colegio de Postgraduados (CEAM-D-001).

The oviposition and development success of M. scalaris was evaluated in a choice experiment. The experimental arena was a cage as described above. Inside, 2 third instar S. frugiperda larvae (1 live, 1 dead), 2 fifth instars (1 live, 1 dead), and 1 cm³ of meridic diet were placed. Twenty M. scalaris adults then were released inside the arena. After 12 h of exposure, the larvae and diet were removed and individualized in Petri dishes (\emptyset = 3 cm \times 1 cm), and after 7 d the number of M. scalaris larvae that com-

pleted their development in each treatment was recorded. Seven repetitions were performed, each in a different wk. The rearing and the assays were kept at 25 \pm 2 °C, 75 \pm 5% RH, and 12:12 h (L:D) within a bioclimatic chamber. The comparison of means of the number of larvae that completed their development in each unit was carried out using Kruskal-Wallis One-Way Nonparametric analysis, followed by Kruskal-Wallis All-Pairwise Comparisons (α = 0.05).

All the specimens that were identified by the rearing and assays corresponded to M. scalaris (Fig. 1) and 134 larvae were recovered from the assays. There were significant differences between treatments (Kruskal-Wallis Statistic = 24.21; P < 0.001). Most organisms developed on the meridic diet (84.3%), followed by those as necrophagous of dead larvae of fifth instar (12.7%), and an insignificant percentage as internal parasites of live larvae of the third (2.2%) and fifth instars (0.7%) (Table 1). During the assays, eggs of M. scalaris were observed in the diet, but not on the integument of the larvae (live or dead). The eggs in both types of larvae must have been within them. There was emergence of M. scalaris larvae (to pupate) from live S. frugiperda larvae, but are they a true endoparasitoid? Although the dipteran larvae completed their development as endoparasitoids and kill their host, the question remains whether it is a facultative parasitoid with a high preference for dead larvae or decomposing material

Megaselia scalaris females appear to locate insects in laboratory or field hatchlings due to olfactory cues from dead organisms (Zwart et al. 2005; Disney 2008). This species also has been associated with Apis mellifera L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae), Rhodnius prolixus Stål (Hemiptera: Reduviidae), and Macrodactylus murinus Bates (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) (Arredondo-Bernal & Trujillo-Arriaga 1994; Cazorla-Perfetti et al. 2012; Ricchiuti et al. 2016), but it was not specified whether it attacked live insects in any of the 3 cases. This fly was associated with S. frugiperda in previous works without specifying its development (Ruiz-Nájera et al. 2007; Tang et al. 2021); the statement about its potential use as a biological control agent is based on the mere fact of having been collected in association with this pest without proof of real evidence. Our work shows that it preferred to develop as a saprophagous in a meridic diet of S. frugiperda, and secondly as necrophagous in larvae. Furthermore, in less than 3% of the

¹Colegio de Postgraduados, Posgrado en Fitosanidad, Entomología y Acarología, Montecillo, CP 56230 Texcoco, Estado de México, Mexico; E-mails: acevedo.adri.alcala@gmail.com (A. A. A.); jrlomelif@hotmail.com (J. R. L. R.); esteban@colpos.mx (E. R. L.); serr_biol@hotmail.com (S. E. R. R.); eo853027@gmail.com (E. O. A.)

^{*}Corresponding author; E-mail: jrlomelif@hotmail.com

Scientific Notes 57




Fig. 1. Megaselia scalaris (Diptera: Phoridae): adult female (A); eggs (B); larvae dorsal view (C); larvae ventral view (D); and pupae (E).

cases, it acted as an endoparasitoid of *S. frugiperda*; these results suggest that this species has no potential as a biological control agent for *S. frugiperda*.

We thank the National Council of Science and Technology in Mexico, CONACyT, for the scholarship awarded to Eréndira Ortiz Andrade during her master's studies. Thanks also to M.C. Jorge M. Valdez for the photographs, and Elena Lomeli-Vera for improving an earlier English version of the manuscript. This study was supported in part by Ruben Luis de la Garza Company (Tamaulipas, Mexico).

Summary

Megaselia scalaris (Diptera: Phoridae) has been recovered from Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and it has been iden-

Table 1. Number of Megaselia scalaris larvae recovered from different treatments.

Treatment	Recovered larvae	value KW stat*	р
Third-instar larvae dead	0	12 b	< 0.0001
Third-instar larvae alive	3	13.857 b	
Meridic diet	113	31.714 a	
Fifth-instar larvae dead	17	18.714 ab	
Fifth-instar larvae alive	1	13.714 b	
TOTAL	134		

^{*}Value KW stat in treatments followed by different letters are significantly different (Tukey's test: P < 0.05).

tified as a possible biological control agent. However, in laboratory tests *M. scalaris* preferred to develop as saprophagous on a meridic diet (84.3%), and secondly as necrophagous of dead larvae (12.7%). Its development as an endoparasitoid occurred in 2.2 and 0.7% in third and fifth instar larvae, respectively. This suggests that it has no potential as a biological control agent for *S. frugiperda*.

Key Words: *Spodoptera frugiperda*; necrophagous insect; *Zea mays*; scuttle fly

Sumario

Megaselia scalaris (Diptera: Phoridae) se ha recuperado de Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), y se señaló como un posible agente de control biológico. Sin embargo, en pruebas de laboratorio M. scalaris prefirió desarrollarse como saprófago de una dieta merídica (84.3%), y en segundo lugar como necrófago en larvas muertas (12.7%). Su desarrollo como endoparasitoide sucedió en 2.2 y 0.7% en larvas de tercer y quinto ínstar, respectivamente. Esto sugiere que no tiene potencial como agente de control biológico de S. frugiperda.

Palabras Clave: *Spodoptera frugiperda*; insectos necrófagos; *Zea mays*; mosca jorobada

References Cited

Alam MS, Ahmed KA, Begum RA, Shahjahan RM. 2016. Identification of *Megaselia scalaris* (Diptera: Phoridae) based on morphology and mitochondrial 16S rRNA and COI gene sequences. Dhaka University Journal of Biological Sciences 25: 149–159.

- Arredondo-Bernal HC, Trujillo-Arriaga J. 1994. Primer reporte de *Megaselia scalaris* (Diptera: Phoridae) como parasitoide de *Macrodactylus murinus* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). Vedalia 1: 27–28.
- Borgmeier T. 1966. Revision of the North American Phorid flies. Part III. The species of the genus *Megaselia*, subgenus *Megaselia* (Diptera, Phoridae). Studia Entomologica, Petropolis 8: 1–160.
- Cazorla-Perfetti DJ, Morales-Moreno P, Bermúdez-Castillero SE. 2012. Infestación de colonias de laboratorio de *Rhodnius prolixus* Stål, 1859 (Hemíptera: Reduviidae) por *Megaselia scalaris* (Loew, 1866) (Diptera: Phoridae). Revista Científica 22: 560–564.
- Disney RHL. 2008. Natural history of the scuttle fly, *Megaselia scalaris*. Annual Review of Entomology 53: 39–60.
- Furukawa E, Kaneko K. 1981. Studies on Phorid flies (Phoridae, Diptera) in Japan. Part IV. Scanning electron microscopic observations of eggs of two *Megaselia*. Japanese Journal of Sanitary Zoology 32: 78–81.
- Jaraleño-Teniente J, Lomeli-Flores JR, Rodríguez-Leyva E, Bujanos-Muñiz R, Rodríguez Rodríguez SE. 2020. Egg parasitoids survey of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in maize and sorghum in Central Mexico. Insects 11: 157. doi: 10.3390/insects11030157

- Koller WW, Andreotti R, Zanon MA, Gomes A, Barros CJ. 2003. Mosca *Megaselia scalaris* (Loew) (Diptera: Phoridae), parasita do carrapato bovino *Boophilus microplus* (Canestrini): Uma revisão. Documento #142. Campo Grande, Embrapa Gado de Corte, Brasília, Distrito Federal, Brazil.
- Ricchiuti L, Miranda M, Venti R, Bosi F, Marino L, Mutinelli F. 2016. Infestation of *Apis mellifera* colonies by *Megaselia scalaris* (Loew, 1866) in Abruzzo and Molise regions, central-southern Italy. Journal of Apicultural Research 55: 187–192.
- Ruiz-Nájera RE, Molina-Ochoa J, Carpenter JE, Espinosa-Moreno JA, Ruiz-Nájera JA, Lezama-Gutiérrez R, Foster JE. 2007. Survey for Hymenopteran and Dipteran parasitoids of the fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Chiapas, Mexico. Journal of Agriculture and Urban Entomology 24: 35–42.
- Tang Y, Li Q, Xiang L, Gu R, Wu Y, Zhang Y, Bai X, Niu X, Li T, Wei J, Pan G, Zhou Z. 2021. First report on Megaselia scalaris Loew (Diptera: Phoridae) infestation of the invasive pest Spodoptera frugiperda Smith (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in China. Insects 12: 65. doi: 10.3390/insects12010065
- Zwart P, Disney RHL, de Batist P, Mutschmann F. 2005. The phorid "scuttle fly" (*Megaselia scalaris*): a threat to zoological collections and especially to amphibians? British Veterinary Zoological Society 5: 27–30.