

# The Euro Med treatment of Apiaceae

Author: Hand, Ralf

Source: Willdenowia, 41(2): 245-250

Published By: Botanic Garden and Botanical Museum Berlin (BGBM)

URL: https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.41.41205

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use">www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</a>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Willdenowia 41 – 2011 245

Notulae ad floram euro-mediterraneam pertinentes No. 28

**RALF HAND** 

# The Euro+Med treatment of Apiaceae

#### **Abstract**

Hand R.: The Euro+Med treatment of *Apiaceae* [Notulae ad floram euro-mediterraneam pertinentes 28]. − Willdenowia 41: 245−250. December 2011. − Online ISSN 1868-6397; © 2011 BGBM Berlin-Dahlem. Stable URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.3372/wi.41.41205

A survey of *Apiaceae* genera accepted for the purpose of the Euro+Med Project is presented. As a consequence of shifts in circumscription of genera or reassessments of specific and subspecific taxa, combinations and new names that are required in the genera *Bubon, Cachrys, Dichoropetalum, Hellenocarum, Laserpitium, Selinum* and *Pastinaca* are published.

Additional key words: Umbelliferae, taxonomy, Europe, Mediterranean area

Compiling a checklist of umbellifers occurring in Europe and the Mediterranean area (in the following referred to as the Euro+Med region) and assembling distributional data for the Euro+Med Plantbase (Hand 2011) proved to be a difficult task for several reasons. Compared to other families relatively rich in species, the data sources differ considerably. Modern treatments such as the encyclopedic monograph for France by Reduron (2007–08) are extremely helpful, but their scope is limited to small parts of the Euro+Med region. The family has not been dealt with in the Med-Checklist (Greuter & al. 1984–89; Greuter & Raab-Straube 2008) so far, and its treatment in Flora Europaea dates back to 1968 (Tutin 1968) while *Apiaceae* systematics have progressed considerably since then.

There has been much progress regarding the infrafamilial systematics of *Apiaceae*. Recently, Downie & al. (2010) have summarised the current state of knowledge and compiled the recent literature on *Apiaceae* systematics. The authors also hint at some uncertainties and open questions. It should be mentioned here that for reasons of consistency within the Euro+Med project, *Hydrocotyle* 

has been included into *Apiaceae* s.l. as was traditional. Recent results clearly show that the genus belongs to *Araliaceae* (see, e.g. Plunkett & al. 1997; Chandler & Plunkett 2004).

Compared to other larger families of vascular plants of the Euro+Med region the number of critical species and species groups is relatively low in the Apiaceae. There are only some notoriously critical taxa which are still in urgent need of an area-wide revision such as Aethusa cynapium, Daucus carota, Pimpinella tragium and Seseli libanotis. However, the most challenging task regarding Apiaceae is the generic treatment within the family. Fuelled by studies using molecular methods, some much disputed cases, several of them dating back to the 19th century, can now be solved. On the other hand, molecular studies have given rise to a certain instability. The reasons are manifold but not limited to Apiaceae: discordance between ITS and plastid data, incomplete sampling (often neglecting extra-European areas), poly- and paraphyletic taxa only partly understood, optional rather than absolutely necessary splitting of large genera, incongruences between morphology and molecular results

<sup>1</sup> Botanic Garden and Botanical Museum Berlin Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin, Königin-Luise-Str. 6-8, 14195 Berlin, Germany; e-mail: r.hand@bgbm.org

and the like. Some examples are discussed in the commented cases below.

The accepted Euro+Med genera of Apiaceae are listed in Table 1. For the sake of clear arrangement only a selection of recently used generic synonyms are added. All synonyms are easily accessible in the online treatment of the family (Hand 2011). Some of the problematic genera are marked with an asterisk. These genera are the most promising candidates for changes in the years to come. They have been dealt with in recent publications but need further studies. In some cases a broader sampling of species outside Europe hopefully will lead to definite decisions concerning generic treatments. In other cases nomenclatural consequences are announced. For the time being, a moderately conservative approach is preferred. Generic changes have been adopted if the situation seems to be settled, e.g. in the case of resurrected Helosciadium, formerly included in Apium. It should be kept in mind that the Euro+Med Plantbase is designed as a dynamic, online database, which can easily integrate convincing new results in the future.

A concise characterisation of the Euro+Med Plantbase Project and its main purposes can be found in the introduction to the first notula (Greuter & al. 2003). Conventions and geographical standards used have been explained in detail by Greuter & Raab-Straube (2005).

Table 1. The Euro+Med genera of Apiaceae: accepted names (bold) and selected synonyms. - Bracketed names are of non-native taxa introduced in the Euro+Med area. Asterisks hint at those genera that are treated in a traditional, conservative way but whose phylogeny is not completely understood and where changes are to be expected.

Actinolema Aegokeras  $\equiv Olymposciadium$ Aegopodium Aethusa Agasyllis Ammi = Visnaga **Ammiopsis** Ammodaucus **Ammoides** Anethum Angelica = Epikeros= Ostericum Anisosciadium Anthriscus Aphanopleura Apium Artedia Astomaea Astrantia Astrodaucus Astydamia Athamanta\* = Portenschlagiella = Tinguarra Aulacospermum Berula **Bifora** Bonannia [Bowlesia] Bubon Bunium\* Bupleurum\* Cachrys = Bilacunaria = Hippomarathrum **Caropsis** Carum\* Caucalis Cenolophium [Centella]

Chaerophyllum

= Physocaulis

Chamaesciadium Chymsydia Cicuta Conioselinum Conium Conopodium Coriandrum Crenosciadium Crithmum Cryptotaenia\* Cuminum [Cyclospermum] Cymbocarpum Daucus\* Dethawia Deverra = PituranthosDichoropetalum = Holandrea= Johreniopsis Diplotaenia Distichoselinum Dorema Drusa Ducrosia **Echinophora** Ekimia Elaeoselinum Elaeosticta Eleutherospermum = Tamamschjania **Endressia** Eremodaucus **Eriosynaphe** Eryngium Exoacantha **Falcaria Ferula** Ferulago Foeniculum Froriepia Fuernrohria Geocaryum = Huetia Glaucosciadium

Glochidotheca  $\equiv Turgeniopsis$ Grafia Grammosciadium Guillonea Hacauetia Hellenocarum Helosciadium Heptaptera Heracleum\* Hladnikia Hohenackeria\* Horstrissea Hydrocotyle Johrenia Krubera

= *Capnophyllum* typo excl.

Kundmannia Lagoecia Laser Laserpitium Lecokia Levisticum Ligusticum\* = Arafoe

= Coristospermum = Macrosciadium = Mutellina = Pachypleurum = Tamamschianella [Lilaeopsis] Lisaea Magydaris Malabaila\* = Leiotulus Mandenovia\* Margotia

Meum

Microsciadium Molopospermum Monizia\* Myrrhis Naufraga Neocryptodiscus

Melanoselinum\*

continued on next page

Willdenowia 41 – 2011 247

Table 1 continued from preceding page

**Oenanthe** Oliveria **Opopanax** Orlaya Ormosciadium Osmorhiza **Pachyctenium Palimbia** Pastinaca\* = Dumaniana Petagnaea Petroedmondia Petroselinum Peucedanum\* = Cervaria = Imperatoria = Oreoselinum = Pteroselinum = Taeniopetalum = Thysselinum = Tommasinia = Xanthoselinum**Phlojodicarpus** Physospermum **Pimpinella** = AlboviaPleurospermum

**Polylophium** Postiella **Prangos Psammogeton Pseudopimpinella Pseudoridolfia** Pseudorlaya\* **Ptychotis** Pycnocycla Rhabdosciadium Ridolfia Rouya Rutheopsis Sanicula Scaligeria Scandix Sclerosciadium Selinum\* = Cnidiocarpa = Cnidium = Kadenia= Katapsuxis Seseli\* = Libanotis Silaum Sison

Sium

Smyrniopsis
Smyrnium
[Sphallerocarpus]
Stefanoffia
Stenotaenia
Stoibrax
Symphyoloma\*
Szovitsia
Thamnosciadium
= Sclerochorton typo excl.
Thapsia
Thecocarpus
Todaroa

Tordylium
= Ainsworthia
= Synelcosciadium
Torilis
Trachydium
= Pseudotrachydium
Trachyspermum
Trigonosciadium\*
Trinia
Trochiscanthes
Turgenia
Xatartia
Zeravschania

Zosima

#### **Comments**

#### Athamanta L.

The phylogenetic position of all taxa traditionally treated as members of the genus Athamanta has not been fully understood. A. della-cellae Asch. & Barbey seems to be closely related to Daucus L. and its relatives, but this problem needs further clarification (Downie & al. 2000). Segregation of Tinguarra Parl. is rather optional than necessary; it is classified as section following the treatment by Spalik & al. (2001). A. macedonica (L.) Spreng. and its relatives from the Balkan peninsula are not related to Athamanta s.str. (Downie & al. 2000). Consequently, the Linnaean genus Bubon L. was restored by the latter authors who validated two names at subspecific rank. Hartvig (1986: 686–689) treated them as clearly defined species; intermediates seem to be very rare. This conception is followed here and two names need to be validated:

**Bubon albanicum** (Alston & Sandwith) Hand, **comb. nov.** ≡ *Athamanta albanica* Alston & Sandwith in J. Bot. 78: 193. 1940 ≡ *Bubon macedonicum* subsp. *albanicum* (Alston & Sandwith) Spalik & S. R. Downie in Amer. J. Bot. 87: 91. 2000.

**Bubon arachnoideum** (Boiss. & Orph.) Hand, **comb. nov.** = *Athamanta arachnoidea* Boiss. & Orph. in Boissier, Fl. Orient., Suppl.: 262. 1888 = *Bubon macedonicum* subsp. *arachnoideum* (Boiss. & Orph.) Spalik & S. R. Downie in Amer. J. Bot. 87: 91. 2000.

Cachrys L. (incl. Bilacunaria Pimenov & V. N. Tikhom.) The relationship between Cachrys and Bilacunaria is one of several examples indicating that splitting of segregate genera is optional. Bilacunaria was segregated on the basis of morphological studies (Pimenov & Tichomirov 1983). A lumping of both genera is not necessarily refuted by molecular studies (Ajani & al. 2008). The placement of genera such as Neocryptodiscus Hedge & Lamond has obviously not been studied yet. According to the arguments of Ajani & al. (2008), two further species currently recognised in Bilacunaria are transferred here to Cachrys s.l.:

*Cachrys aksekiensis* (A. Duran & B. Doğan) Hand, **comb. nov.** ≡ *Bilacunaria aksekiensis* A. Duran & B. Doğan in Ann. Bot. Fenn. 48: 362. 2011.

*Cachrys boissieri* (Boiss.) Hand, **comb. nov.** ≡ *Hippomarathrum boissieri* Boiss., Fl. Orient. 2: 933. 1872 ≡ *Bilacunaria boissieri* (Boiss.) Pimenov & V. N. Tikhom. in Feddes Repert. 94: 152. 1983.

#### Dichoropetalum Fenzl / Peucedanum L.

The splitting of satellite genera from *Peucedanum* sensu latissimo, among them *Cervaria* Wolf, *Holandrea* Reduron & al., *Imperatoria* L., *Oreoselinum* Hill, *Pteroselinum* (Rchb.) Rchb., *Thysselinum* Hoffm., *Tommasinia* Bertol. and *Xanthoselinum* Schur, has been advo-

cated by several working groups (see, e.g. Spalik & al. 2004). It is only partially supported by character patterns such as immunochemistry of seed storage proteins (see Shneyer & al. 2003 also for general discussion). Opinion among authors of recently published floras and checklists for European countries is much divided. Some, e.g. Stace (2010) and Conti & al. (2007), follow the splitters, some not, e.g. Buttler & Hand (2008) and Fröberg (2010). The latter author summarises as follows: "However, such a division still seems premature, since data are lacking for several other segregates of Peucedanum. Furthermore, some of the segregate genera are located in the same clade as Peucedanum s.str. [...], and could be treated as one monophyletic genus". Even a compromise solution by segregating Cervaria only (Fischer & al. 2008) is disputable. Its placement is ambiguous and depends on the phylogenetic method used (Spalik & al. 2004). Enigmatic taxa such as P. nebrodense (Guss.) Nyman are currently under consideration (Brullo & al., in press). The placement of Chymsydia Albov and Endressia J. Gay which are nested in Peucedanum s.l. needs further studies.

There seems to be only one group of taxa within Peucedanum in the traditional wide sense which is only distantly related to the rest of the peucedanoid assemblage. For this group of taxa the old name *Holandrea* has been propagated but after having required a broader sampling of related species, the genus name Dichoropetalum has been revitalized (Pimenov & al. 2007); the authors corroborate its segregation and provide a monographic treatment of the taxon. The placement of *P. caucasicum* (M. Bieb.) K. Koch is controversial (see Shneyer & al. 2003, Spalik & al. 2004); it may be a member of Dichoropetalum but more data are required to confirm this position. Two taxa recently described under Peucedanum but showing a combination of characters typical for Dichoropetalum as defined by Pimenov & al. (2007: 476–477) need to be transferred to the latter genus:

*Dichoropetalum kittaniae* (Yıld.) Hand, **comb. nov.** ≡ *Peucedanum kittaniae* Yıld. in Ot Sist. Bot. Dergisi 17(2): 7. 2010.

**Dichoropetalum kyriakae** (Hadjik. & Alziar) Hand & Hadjik., **comb. nov.** ≡ *Peucedanum kyriakae* Hadjik. & Alziar in Biocosme Mésogéen 22: 177. 2006.

## Hellenocarum H. Wolff

Carum L. is among the polyphyletic genera that are not fully understood. However, the long-term controversial segregate *Hellenocarum* is not closely related to the Carum core group (including the generic type) and should be resurrected (Papini & al. 2007). C. heldreichii Boiss. is also not closely related to "true" Carum (Degtjareva & al. 2009); it needs further investigation.

Tan (in Tan & Sorger 1986) ranked *Hellenocarum multiflorum* (Sm.) H. Wolff and *H. strictum* as subspecies but without any discussion. Hartvig's (1986) treatment

of both taxa as species is more convincing and followed here:

Hellenocarum strictum (Griseb.) Hand, comb. nov. ≡ Bunium strictum Griseb., Spic. Fl. Rumel. 1: 344. 1843 ≡ Hellenocarum multiflorum subsp. strictum (Griseb.) Kit Tan in Pl. Syst. Evol. 154: 121. 1986.

# Heracleum L. / Mandenovia Alava / Symphyoloma C. A. Mey.

The enigmatic monotypic Caucausian genera *Mandenovia* and *Symphyoloma* proved to be nested within *Heracleum* according to molecular studies by Logacheva & al. (2008). No nomenclatural changes have been proposed so far. A paraphyletic genus *Heracleum* is accepted for the time being. A synonymisation of *H. marashicum* Kit Tan & Yıldız with *Malabaila secacul* (Mill.) Boiss. seems reasonable. According to Logacheva & al. (2008) it is "slightly differing only in the number of umbel rays".

## Laserpitium L.

Two names of Iberian *Laserpitium* taxa have not been validly published: *L. latifolium* subsp. *merinoi* [P. Monts.], and *L. nestleri* subsp. *flabellatum*, because the herbarium where the holotypes are kept have not been mentioned (Art. 37.7. ICBN). The former case is still under consideration, the latter name is published here:

Laserpitium nestleri subsp. flabellatum [P. Monts. in Collect. Bot. (Barcelona) 26: 55. 2003, nom. inval. ex] P. Monts., subsp. nov. – Holotype: [Spain] "Jaca, Monte Oroel (Espagne, prov. Huesca) [...] alt. 1120–1130 m", 25.7.1999, P. Montserrat in 'Soc. Echange Pl. Vasc. Eur. Bassin Méd. 28: nº 19299' (JACA 74599).

### Ligusticum L. / Selinum L.

Ligusticum and Selinum are certainly among the most problematic Apiaceae genera occurring in the Euro-Mediterranean area as regards the generic treatment. Analyses have clearly confirmed the extreme polyphyly of the Ligusticum alliance, incl. Selinum s.l., in the previously proposed interpretation (Valiejo-Roman & al. 2006). Nuclear ITS sequence variation is considerably discordant with morphology; it is also concluded that "ITS sequence data cannot be a universal key" (Valiejo-Roman & al. 2006). To sum up there are currently more open questions than convincing solutions; even segregation of taxa such as *Cnidiocarpa* Pimenov (2005), which obviously is very close to the Selinum core group (see Valiejo-Roman & al. 2006), seems premature. In their Flora of China treatment, Pu & Watson (2005) summarise that a general consensus has yet to be reached; they prefer a conservative, traditional classification with the knowledge that Ligusticum in the broad sense is an artificial assemblage. This approach is followed here for Ligusticum and Selinum in an even wider treatment. Taking into consideration the

Willdenowia 41 – 2011 249

current phylogenetic knowledge (see, e.g. Valiejo-Roman & al. 2006) and the available morphological revisions of *Cnidiocarpa* and *Cnidium* Cusson (Leute 1971; Pimenov 2005), four taxa have to be transferred to *Selinum* s.l.; in one case a nomen novum is needed:

Selinum alatum (M. Bieb.) Hand, comb. nov. ≡ Athamanta alata M. Bieb., Fl. Taur.-Caucas. 1: 214. 1808 ≡ Cnidiocarpa alata (M. Bieb.) Pimenov & Kljuykov in Bot. Zhurn. 95: 71. 2010.

*Selinum mandenovae* (Gagnidze) Hand, **comb. nov.** ≡ *Cnidium mandenovae* Gagnidze in Zametki Sist. Geogr. Rast. 37: 26. 1981.

Selinum physospermifolium (Albov) Hand, comb. nov. 
≡ Ligusticum physospermifolium Albov in Trudy Tiflissk.

Bot. Sada 1: 109. 1895 ≡ Cnidiocarpa physospermifolia (Albov) Pimenov in Bot. Zhurn. 90: 254. 2005.

Selinum ponticum Hand, nom. nov. ≡ Cnidium coniifolium Boiss. in Ann. Sci. Nat., Bot., ser. 3, 1: 299. 1844 ≡ Selinum coniifolium (Boiss.) Leute in Ann. Naturhist. Mus. Wien 74: 508. 1971, non (DC.) Benth. in Bentham & Hooker, Gen. Pl. 1: 914. 1867 [≡ Ligusticum coniifolium DC., Prodr. 4: 158. 1830].

*Selinum rhodopetalum* (Pimenov & Kljuykov) Hand, **comb. nov.** ≡ *Cnidiocarpa rhodopetala* Pimenov & Kljuykov in Bot. Zhurn. 95: 71. 2010.

*Malabaila* Hoffm. / *Pastinaca* L. (incl. *Dumaniana* Yıld. & B. Selvi)

Problems concerning the generic delimitations of the mentioned *Tordylieae* genera have been summarised by Pimenov & Ostroumova (1994). Based on carpological studies the authors rearranged Pastinaca and resurrected the genus Leiotulus, a genus "intermediate between Pastinaca [...] and Zosima". But this generic treatment is not corroborated by molecular results (Logacheva & al. 2008). Pastinaca, Leitotulus Ehrenb. (sensu Pimenov & Ostroumova 1994) and Trigonosciadium Boiss. form an aggregation with an obviously much more complicated phylogenetic history, which is in urgent need for more research. Already Menemen & Jury (2001) provided some critical discussion on the generic treatment proposed earlier but refrained from nomenclatural changes. It would not come as a surprise if Leiotulus, Malabaila, Pastinaca and Trigonosciadium would finally end up in a very broad interpretation of Pastinaca. Dumaniana, a recently proposed segregate of Pastinaca (Yıldırımlı & Selvi 2006), has not been listed as accepted genus by Downie & al. (2010); it needs further corroboration by molecular studies. For the time being this segregate is included in *Pastinaca* and one new name needs to be published:

**Pastinaca gelendostensis** (Yıld. & B. Selvi) Hand, **comb. nov.** ≡ *Dumaniana gelendostensis* Yıld. & B. Selvi in Ot Sist. Bot. Dergisi 13(2): 5. 2006

# Acknowledgements

Compilation of data was financially supported by the "Verein der Freunde des Botanischen Gartens und Botanischen Museums Berlin-Dahlem e.V." as project within the Euro-Mediterranean focal area of the BGBM. Daniel Gómez (JACA), P. Montserrat (JACA) and Mauricio Velayos (MA) helped in tracing some type specimens and provided scans respectively. Mark Watson (E) and the secretary of the Euro+Med Project, E. von Raab-Straube (B), provided helpful comments on an earlier version of the notula.

#### References

- Ajani Y., Ajani A., Cordes J. M., Watson M. F. & Downie S. R. 2008: Phylogenetic analysis of nrDNA ITS sequences reveals relationships within five groups of Iranian *Apiaceae* subfamily *Apioideae*. – Taxon 57: 383–401.
- Brullo C., Brullo S., Downie S. R., Danderson C. A. & Galdo G. G. del [in press]: A systematic study of Peucedanum nebrodense: a new monotypic genus of Apiaceae. – Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.
- Buttler K. P. & Hand R. 2008: Liste der Gefäßpflanzen Deutschlands. Kochia, Beih. 1.
- Chandler G. T. & Plunkett G. M. 2004: Evolution in *Apiales:* nuclear and chloroplast markers together in (almost) perfect harmony. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. **144:** 123–147.
- Conti F., Alessandrini A., Bacchetta G., Banfi E., Barberis G., Bartolucci F., Bernardo L., Bonacquisti S., Bouvet D., Bovio M., Brusa G., Del Guacchio E., Foggi B., Frattini S., Galasso G., Gallo L., Gangale C., Gottschlich G., Grünanger P., Gubellini L., Iiriti G., Lucarini D., Marchetti D., Moraldo B., Peruzzi L., Poldini L., Prosser F., Raffaelli M., Santangelo A., Scassellati E., Scortegagna S., Selvi F., Soldano A., Tinti D., Ubaldi D., Uzunov D. & Vidali M. 2007 ["2006"]: Integrazioni alla Checklist della flora vascolare italiana. Nat. Vicentina 10: 5–74.
- Degtjareva G. V., Kljuykov E. V., Samigullin T. H., Valiejo-Roman C. M. & Pimenov M. G. 2009: Molecular appraisal of *Bunium* and some related arid and subarid geophilic *Apiaceae - Apioideae* taxa of the ancient Mediterranean. – Bot. J. Linn. Soc. **160**: 149–170.
- Downie S. R., Katz-Downie D. S. & Spalik K. 2000: A phylogeny of *Apiaceae* tribe *Scandiceae*: evidence from nuclear ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer sequences. Amer. J. Bot. **87:** 76–95.
- Downie S. R., Spalik K., Katz-Downie D. S. & Reduron J.-P. 2010: Major clades within *Apiaceae* subfamily

- *Apioideae* as inferred by phylogenetic analysis of nrD-NA ITS sequences. Pl. Divers. Evol. **128:** 111–136.
- Hand R. 2011: *Apiaceae*. In: Euro+Med Plantbase the information resource for Euro-Mediterranean plant diversity. Published on the Internet at <a href="http://ww2.bgbm.org/EuroPlusMed/">http://ww2.bgbm.org/EuroPlusMed/</a>
- Fischer M. A., Oswald K. & Adler W. 2008: Exkursionsflora für Österreich, Liechtenstein und Südtirol, ed. 3. – Linz: Oberösterreichisches Landesmusuem.
- Fröberg L. 2010: *Peucedanum* L. Pp. 250–255 in: Jonsell B. & Karlsson T. (ed.), Flora nordica **6.** Stockholm: Bergius Foundation, etc.
- Greuter W. & Raab-Straube E. von 2005: Euro+Med Notulae, 1. Willdenowia **35:** 223–239.
- Greuter W. & Raab-Straube E. von 2008: Med-Checklist **2.** Palermo: OPTIMA.
- Greuter W., Burdet H. M. & Long G. (ed.) 1984, 1986, 1989: Med-Checklist 1, 3, 4. Genève: Conservatoire et Jardin botaniques, Ville de Genève; Berlin: Botanischer Garten & Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem.
- Greuter W., Oberprieler C. & Vogt R. 2003: The Euro+Med treatment of *Anthemideae (Compositae)* – generic concepts and required new names. – Willdenowia 33: 37–43.
- Hartvig P. 1986: *Apiaceae (Umbelliferae)*. Pp. 655–735 in: Strid A. (ed.), Mountain flora of Greece **1.** Cambridge: Cambridge University.
- Leute G.-H. 1971 ["1970"]: Untersuchungen über den Verwandtschaftskreis der Gattung *Ligusticum* L. (*Umbelliferae*) II. Teil. Ann. Naturhist. Mus. Wien **74:** 457–519.
- Logacheva M. D., Valiejo-Roman C. M. & Pimenov M. G. 2008: ITS phylogeny of West Asian *Heracleum* species and related taxa of *Umbelliferae Tordylieae* W. D. J. Koch with notes on evolution of their *psbAtrnH* sequences. Pl. Syst. Evol. **270**: 139–157.
- Menemen Y. & Jury S. 2001: A systematic study of the genus *Malabaila* Hoffm. (*Umbelliferae*) comparing with the closely related genera. Pp. 299–312 in: Gozukkimizi N. (ed.), The Proceedings of the 2nd Balkan Botanical Congress. Istanbul: Marmara University.
- Papini A., Banci F. & Nardi E. 2007: Molecular evidence of polyphyletism in the plant genus *Carum L. (Apiaceae)*. Genet. Mol. Biol. **30:** 475–482.
- Pimenov M. G. 2005: Taksonomicheskie dopolneniya i izmeneniya po rodu *Cnidiocarpa (Umbelliferae).* Bot. Zhurn. **90:** 250–256.
- Pimenov M. G. & Ostroumova T. A. 1994: The genus *Malabaila* Hoffm. (*Umbelliferae: Tordylieae*): a carpological investigation and taxomomic implications. Feddes Repert. **105:** 141–155.

- Pimenov M. G. & Tichomirov V. N. 1983: The taxonomic problems in the genera *Prangos* Lindl., *Cachrys* L., *Cryptodiscus* Schrenk and *Hippomarathrum* Hoffmgg. et Link. Feddes Repert. **94:** 145–164.
- Pimenov M. G., Kljuykov E. V. & Ostroumova T. A. 2007: Critical taxonomic analysis of *Dichoropeta-lum*, *Johrenia*, *Zeravschania* and related genera of *Umbelliferae - Apioideae - Peucedaneae*. – Willdenowia 37: 465–502.
- Plunkett G. M., Soltis D. E. & Soltis P. S. 1997: Clarification of the relationship between *Apiaceae* and *Araliaceae* based on *matK* and *rbcL* sequence data. <u>Amer.</u> J. Bot. **84:** 565–580.
- Pu F. T. & Watson M. 2005: *Ligusticum* Linnaeus. Pp. 140–150 in: Wu Z.-Y. & Raven P. H. (ed.), Flora of China **14.** Beijing: Science Press & St. Louis: Missouri Botanical Garden.
- Reduron J.-P. 2007–08: Ombellifères de France 1–5. Bull. Soc. Bot. Centre-Ouest, Numéro Spécial **26–30.**
- Shneyer V. S., Kutyavina N. G. & Pimenov M. G. 2003: Systematic relationships within and between *Peucedanum* and *Angelica (Umbelliferae - Peucedaneae)* inferred from immunological studies of seed proteins. – Pl. Syst. Evol. **236:** 175–194.
- Spalik K., Reduron J.-P. & Downie S. R. 2004: The phylogenetic position of *Peucedanum* sensu lato and allied genera and their placement in tribe *Selineae* (*Apiaceae*, subfamily *Apiodideae*). Pl. Syst. Evol. **243:** 189–210.
- Spalik K., Wojewódzka A. & Downie S. E. 2001: Delimitation of genera in *Apiaceae* with examples from *Scandiceae* subtribe *Scandicinae*. Edinburgh J. Bot. **58:** 331–346.
- Stace C. 2010: New flora of the British Isles, ed. 3. Cambridge: Cambridge University.
- Tan K. & Sorger F. 1986: Even more taxa from South and East Anatolia I. Pl. Syst. Evol. **154:** 111–128.
- Tutin T. G. 1968: *Umbelliferae*. Pp. 315–375 in: Tutin T. G., Heywood V. H., Burges N. A., Moore D. M., Valentine D. H., Walters S. M. & Webb D. A. (ed.), Flora europaea 2. Cambridge: Cambridge University.
- Valiejo-Roman C. M., Shneyer V. S., Samigullin T. H., Terentieva E. I. & Pimenov M. G. 2006: An attempt to clarify taxonomic relationships in "Verwandtschaftskreis der Gattung *Ligusticum*" (*Umbelliferae* - *Apioideae*) by molecular analysis. – Pl. Syst. Evol. 257: 25–43.
- Yıldırımlı Š. & Selvi B. 2006: A new genus, *Dumaniana* Yıldırımlı & Selvi, and a new species, *D. gelendostensis* Yıldırımlı & Selvi (*Apiaceae*) from Isparta, Southwest Anatolia, Turkey and new combinations. Ot Sist. Bot. Dergisi **13(2):** 1–8.