



New Microsatellite Markers for *Campanula scheuchzeri* (Campanulaceae), with Cross-Amplification in *C. rotundifolia*

Authors: Armbruster, G. F. J., and Stöcklin, J.

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 3(3)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1400118>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

NEW MICROSATELLITE MARKERS FOR *CAMPANULA SCHEUCHZERI* (CAMPANULACEAE), WITH CROSS-AMPLIFICATION IN *C. ROTUNDIFOLIA*¹

G. F. J. ARMBRUSTER^{2,3} AND J. STÖCKLIN²

²Department of Environmental Sciences, Population Biology of Plants, University of Basel, Schönbeinstrasse 6, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

- **Premise of the study:** We developed new microsatellite primers for the alpine bellflower *Campanula scheuchzeri*. Allelic polymorphisms will be used to study differentiation along elevation gradients of *C. scheuchzeri* populations and in the co-occurring sister-species *C. rotundifolia* in the Alps.
- **Methods and Results:** We analyzed *C. scheuchzeri* from three high-elevation sites and *C. rotundifolia* from two low-elevation sites in Switzerland. *Campanula scheuchzeri* was found to be tetraploid ($2n = 68 = 4x$), and up to 22 alleles were found per locus and population. Of the 15 polymorphic loci developed for *C. scheuchzeri*, 10 loci were tested, all of which amplified in *C. rotundifolia*, with similar amplicon length. *Campanula rotundifolia* individuals also showed tetraploid signals.
- **Conclusions:** We speculate that *C. scheuchzeri* and *C. rotundifolia* share a common gene pool and evolve under vicariance. This presents a testable hypothesis that will be evaluated through future work. Our developed primers might also amplify in other related *Campanula* taxa.

Key words: bellflowers; *Campanula scheuchzeri*; Campanulaceae; simple sequence repeat (SSR); tetraploid plants.

With nearly 500 accepted species, *Campanula* L. is the largest Campanulaceae genus (Roquet et al., 2008). *Campanula scheuchzeri* Vill. is distributed in meadows and pastures of European mountains and is primarily tetraploid ($2n = 68 = 4x$; Geslot, 1984; Lauber and Wagner, 2007), although some diploid populations have been identified in the Pyrenees (Geslot, 1984). *Campanula rotundifolia* L. is a sister species of *C. scheuchzeri* and is widespread across the northern hemisphere (Roquet et al., 2008; Stevens et al., 2012). In the Alps, both taxa may co-occur on mountain slopes, with *C. scheuchzeri* found in greatest abundance above c. 1200 m and *C. rotundifolia* below c. 1200 m (Aeschmann et al., 2004; Frei, 2007). The two species are morphologically similar, phenotypically highly variable, and some populations are difficult to classify (Böcher, 1936; Frei, 2007; Lauber and Wagner, 2007). No nucleotide differences were observed between the two taxa in an 850-bp chloroplast sequence (Roquet et al., 2008; aligning GenBank sequence EF088759 with EF088762). However, typical populations of *C. scheuchzeri* and *C. rotundifolia* can be easily distinguished by the number and size of flowers, leaf shape, and hair density (Frei, 2007). In the future, we will use the microsatellite loci developed in this study to evaluate phenotypic and molecular differentiation, gene flow,

and local adaptation in *C. scheuchzeri* and *C. rotundifolia* in different regions of the Swiss Alps.

METHODS AND RESULTS

We sampled leaf material of *C. scheuchzeri* individuals in three populations in the Swiss Alps that were separated from one another by at least 60 km: Fondei (canton of Graubünden: 1950 m a.s.l.; $N = 20$ individuals), Niessen (canton of Bern: 1680 m a.s.l.; $N = 20$), and Furka (canton of Uri: 2420 m a.s.l.; $N = 20$). We also sampled leaf material from two lowland populations of *C. rotundifolia* in Switzerland for cross-amplification: Blauen (canton Basel-Land: 620 m a.s.l.; $N = 5$) and Bonaduz (canton Graubünden: 660 m a.s.l.; $N = 5$). Leaf samples were silica-dried, and reference samples (Appendix 1) were stored in the Botanical Institute, University of Basel, Switzerland. Extracted DNA was sent to Ecogenics GmbH molecular marker services (Zürich-Schlieren, Switzerland) to develop microsatellite markers. In brief, Ecogenics used a traditional approach with genomic library enrichment, M13-tailing of the forward primers (Schuelke, 2000; see Table 1), and fluorophore labeling of the M13 primer. ECO500 was used as a size standard in the electropherograms. Additional technical information is described in detail in Kesselring et al. (2013) and Hamann et al. (2014). Ecogenics used a standard PCR program for all loci, with 15-min denaturation at 95°C and PCR start at 95°C for 30 s, 56°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 45 s in 30 cycles followed by eight cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 53°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 45 s. Termination was set to 72°C for 30 min (Kesselring et al., 2013). Each locus was analyzed separately. The library was enriched for tetranucleotide motifs (Table 1). This strategy likely assists in allele scoring, because a maximum of four different allelic peaks in an individual may be stretched over a wide range of base pairs. Ecogenics also performed the allele scoring, which was conducted twice independently. Ten out of 15 polymorphic microsatellite loci were randomly chosen and gave clearly readable electropherograms (Table 1). We used a conservative approach of binning of 1-bp differences due to potential stuttering (Table 1). Sample replicates were processed from the point of DNA extraction for 10% ($N = 6$) of the *C. scheuchzeri* samples, and gave identical allele signals to the first run. The polished allelic data of *C. scheuchzeri* were written in a two-digit code for each allele to calculate average expected heterozygosity (H_e) in the ATETRA software package (version 1.2.a; Van Puyvelde et al., 2010). One thousand Monte Carlo

¹Manuscript received 17 December 2014; revision accepted 30 January 2015.

The authors thank the Basler Stiftung für biologische Forschung for financial support. We also thank Ecogenics GmbH (Zürich-Schlieren, Switzerland) for technical cooperation and Daniel Nelson for improving the linguistic style of the manuscript.

³Author for correspondence: g.armbruster@unibas.ch

doi:10.3732/apps.1400118

Applications in Plant Sciences 2015 3(3): 1400118; <http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps> © 2015 Armbruster and Stöcklin. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA).

TABLE 1. Newly developed microsatellite markers in *Campanula scheuchzeri*.^a

| Locus | Primer sequences (5′–3′) | Repeat motif | Amplicon length (bp) ^b | Allelic binning ^c | GenBank accession no. |
|-----------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Scheuch1 | F: AAAGTGCATTATACCTAAATTGCTG R: GTTGGCAAATGGGTGACTTTC | (TACA) ₈ | 123–147 | Few binnings | KP342303 |
| Scheuch2 | F: TTAGGCTCAAACCTTACCACAC R: CGTTCTCAGATCCGTTACTGTTTC | (ATAC) ₈ | 139–166 | No binning | KP342304 |
| Scheuch3 | F: AGCAATCTTGGCCCCCTAAC R: TACTCGAACATGGCTTCACC | (TGTA) ₇ | 138–184 | Few binnings | KP342305 |
| Scheuch4 | F: TGCATCATAAGTGAGCACATCG R: CGAATCGCTGGGAGAAAAGG | (TATG) ₇ | 84–130 | No binning | KP342306 |
| Scheuch5 | F: TGGGGTGGTTTACTCTACTCG R: TGGAACCCCGTGATGAGATG | (CTAT) ₁₁ | 145–193 | Several binnings | KP342307 |
| Scheuch6 | F: TTATGTTTTTGGGGCGTGG R: TCATGGGCTGATTATCTAGGGG | (ATGT) ₇ | 119–199 | Few binnings | KP342308 |
| Scheuch7 | F: GCAACTTAAAGTGGGACAGAGG R: ACTTTACACATTTAAAGGCATTGAGG | (ATAC) ₇ | 127–220 | No binning | KP342309 |
| Scheuch8 | F: TCAAATAGAGTGCCACCTTAGC R: TGGGGTATACAGTTGAAGAGG | (CATA) ₇ | 127–168 | Few binnings | KP342310 |
| Scheuch9 | F: TGACCAATGTTCTGGACTTGAC R: ACTAAACATCATTATTTGCAACGC | (ATAC) ₉ | 107–170 | No binning | KP342311 |
| Scheuch10 | F: CTCTCTCTCTATAACACACCGC R: GTTGGAGGAGTGACACAAGC | (ATAC) ₇ | 83–122 | Few binnings | KP342312 |
| Scheuch11 | F: GTGACCCTTTCTTATATTTGCC R: GCTTTGGAGAGGCTTGACATAC | (TATC) ₁₀ | 153–226 | NA | KP342313 |
| Scheuch12 | F: TCTAGTCATCCCTAGGCCCG R: TGCGCAGTTCACTTGGTTTG | (AGAT) ₇ | 103–199 | NA | KP342314 |
| Scheuch13 | F: TGTTGACTCGCTCGACTTC R: ACAAGTCCTTCCTAGTTCTCTAC | (ATGT) ₈ | 153–195 | NA | KP342315 |
| Scheuch14 | F: ATTACAGAGACGGAGGGAG R: TGGCCTTGTCAAACGCTTC | (GTAT) ₇ | 164–294 | NA | KP342316 |
| Scheuch15 | F: ATGCCCTAATTCCACTTGC R: GTGAATTTTATGACACATTTAGTAGCAC | (ATGT) ₇ | 143–220 | NA | KP342317 |

^aTen randomly chosen loci (Scheuch1–10) were analyzed in three populations of *C. scheuchzeri*, in a total of 60 individuals (Table 2). Five other loci (Scheuch11–15) were tested in a preliminary analysis by Ecogenics and proved to be polymorphic in *C. scheuchzeri*, but were not included in our study (NA).

^bLengths of amplicons include the 18-bp M13 tail 5′-TGTAACGACGCGCCAGT-3′ of the forward primer (see Methods and Results).

^cSome allelic peaks of the 60 genotyped *C. scheuchzeri* individuals (see Table 2) were corrected for amplicon size. Due to potential stuttering, binning of 1-bp differences of few alleles was performed (Scheuch1, Scheuch3, Scheuch6, Scheuch8, Scheuch10). In locus Scheuch5, binning of 1-bp differences of several alleles was necessary. Allelic peaks of four loci were clear-cut, and binning was not necessary.

simulations were performed to calculate H_e for each locus in each of the three populations of *C. scheuchzeri* (Table 2).

All 10 primer pairs cross-amplified in *C. rotundifolia* without any PCR dropouts (Table 3), and the allelic range in both species is quite similar. For example, locus Scheuch1 shows alleles between 123 bp and 143 bp in *C. scheuchzeri*, and between 123 bp and 139 bp in *C. rotundifolia* (Tables 1, 3). In *C. scheuchzeri*, between five and 22 alleles were found per locus and population (Table 2). The number of alleles per population in *C. rotundifolia* was lower (2–9; Table 3), but this is probably a consequence of the lower sample size ($N = 20$ in *C. scheuchzeri*, $N = 10$ in *C. rotundifolia*). Despite the similarities,

some alleles were only found in the 10 individuals of *C. rotundifolia* (Table 3). The frequency of these *C. rotundifolia* signals was particularly high (59%) at locus Scheuch7 (Table 3).

We confirm the tetraploid nature of the study populations of *C. scheuchzeri*, as up to 60% of all individuals possessed four allelic peaks (locus Scheuch5 and Scheuch7 in the Furka population; Table 2). H_e was high in each locus, ranging from 0.67 to 0.90. Interestingly, we also observed high homozygosity values in some populations (Table 2). High HO_1 values between 0.35 and 0.50 were found in the Fondei population (loci Scheuch3 and Scheuch4) and in the Furka population (locus Scheuch9). We consider four possible explanations

TABLE 2. Population genetic parameters for three tetraploid populations of *Campanula scheuchzeri* from the Swiss Alps.

| Locus | Fondei ($N = 20$) | | | | Niessen ($N = 20$) | | | | Furka ($N = 20$) | | | |
|-----------|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|----------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| | A | HO_1 | HE_4 | H_e | A | HO_1 | HE_4 | H_e | A | HO_1 | HE_4 | H_e |
| Scheuch1 | 6 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.76 | 7 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.76 | 7 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.78 |
| Scheuch2 | 7 | 0.15 | 0 | 0.72 | 10 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.67 | 5 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.68 |
| Scheuch3 | 6 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.68 | 12 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.82 | 7 | 0.15 | 0 | 0.67 |
| Scheuch4 | 9 | 0.40 | 0 | 0.78 | 9 | 0.40 | 0 | 0.81 | 11 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.82 |
| Scheuch5 | 15 | 0 | 0.35 | 0.86 | 13 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.80 | 13 | 0 | 0.60 | 0.88 |
| Scheuch6 | 8 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.75 | 14 | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.83 | 8 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
| Scheuch7 | 13 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.84 | 20 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.90 | 22 | 0 | 0.60 | 0.88 |
| Scheuch8 | 8 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.83 | 8 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.81 | 7 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.76 |
| Scheuch9 | 14 | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.87 | 10 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.78 | 7 | 0.50 | 0 | 0.74 |
| Scheuch10 | 6 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.73 | 8 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.76 | 6 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.71 |

Note: A = number of alleles; H_e = mean expected heterozygosity based on ATETRA simulations; HE_4 = frequency of observed heterozygous individuals with maximum number of alleles (i.e., four different allele peaks visible); HO_1 = frequency of observed homozygous individuals (i.e., with just one visible allele peak); N = number of individuals genotyped.

TABLE 3. Cross-amplification of 10 microsatellite loci from *Campanula scheuchzeri* in 10 individuals of two populations of *C. rotundifolia*.^a

| Locus | A | Amplicon length (bp) ^b | A (rot) | % rot ^c | HO ₁ | HE ₂ | HE ₃ | HE ₄ |
|-----------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Scheuch1* | 7 | 123–139 | — | — | — | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| Scheuch2* | 6 | 143–162 | — | — | 2 | 7 | — | 1 |
| Scheuch3 | 4 | 142–159 | — | — | 6 | 4 | — | — |
| Scheuch4 | 5 | 93–126 | — | — | 2 | 6 | 2 | — |
| Scheuch5* | 3 | 144–153 | — | — | 3 | 5 | 2 | — |
| Scheuch6 | 2 | 124–130 | — | — | 6 | 4 | — | — |
| Scheuch7 | 8 | 133–182 | 133, 137, 141 | 59 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Scheuch8* | 3 | 127–140 | 131 | 5 | — | 9 | 1 | — |
| Scheuch9 | 9 | 107–146 | — | — | — | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| Scheuch10 | 8 | 95–130 | 118, 126, 130 | 15 | — | 4 | 6 | — |

Note: % rot = percentage of these alleles in the *C. rotundifolia* data set; A = total number of alleles; A (rot) = alleles (in bp) only found in the 10 *C. rotundifolia* individuals (i.e., not found in the 60 individuals of *C. scheuchzeri*); HE₂ = number of heterozygous individuals with two allelic peaks; HE₃ = number of heterozygous individuals with three allelic peaks; HE₄ = number of heterozygous individuals with maximum number of alleles (i.e., four different alleles visible); HO₁ = number of homozygous individuals (i.e., with just one visible allele peak).

* Some binning of alleles might be necessary (see Table 1).

^a Note that no PCR dropout occurred, i.e., the cross sum adds up to 10 individuals.

^b Amplicon length includes the M13 tail.

^c Calculation was as follows: number of allele peaks of A (rot) in the electropherograms of *C. rotundifolia* was divided by the total number of allele peaks, e.g., the three “exclusive” alleles 133, 137, and 141 of locus Scheuch7 were found with 13 allelic peaks in 10 individuals. In total, the electropherograms of *C. rotundifolia* showed 22 peaks at locus Scheuch7, hence 13/22 = 59%.

for the high HO₁ values: (1) null alleles are present at these loci; (2) increased homozygosity is due to selection of an unknown, linked locus; (3) the observed homozygosity results from autonomous self-fertilization, a scenario that was found in tetraploid individuals of *C. rotundifolia* (Stevens et al., 2012); or (4) half-sib mating occurred in these populations. Explanations 3 and 4, however, would also have led to higher than the observed HO₁ values at other loci (cf. Table 2). Consequently, we tentatively support either the null-allele scenario or the possibility of selection.

In *C. rotundifolia*, we also found signals for tetraploidy (see HE₄ in Table 3), which is common in Europe (Böcher, 1936; Hess et al., 1980; Stevens et al., 2012). Notably, Frei (2007), who scored populations of *C. rotundifolia* from several locations in Switzerland using flow cytometry, observed only tetraploid populations, although some authors reported other ploidy levels (Hess et al., 1980). In the current study, different combinations of one, two, three, or four allelic signals were found in the 10 selected individuals (Table 3).

CONCLUSIONS

Newly developed microsatellite markers confirmed that our focal populations of *C. scheuchzeri* are tetraploid. All 10 primer pairs cross-amplified in specimens of the widespread sister-species *C. rotundifolia*. The allelic divergence of *C. scheuchzeri* and *C. rotundifolia* at locus Scheuch7 has several possible explanations at this time including genetic drift due to isolation in space and time between the two taxa. However, artificial cross-fertilization was successful in both species, making genetic isolation a weak argument for the observed allelic divergence (Stevens et al., 2012). A clear genetic delimitation of the two species is probably not possible, and at intermediate elevations assigning populations to species may prove difficult due to overlapping variability. Nevertheless, our working hypothesis is therefore that both nominal species evolved under vicariance.

LITERATURE CITED

- AESCHIMANN, D., K. LAUBER, D. M. MOSER, AND J.-P. THEURILLAT. 2004. *Flora alpina*. Verlag Haupt, Bern, Switzerland.
- BÖCHER, T. W. 1936. Cytological studies on *Campanula rotundifolia*. *Hereditas* 22: 269–277.
- Frei, E. S. 2007. Variabilität entlang von Höhengradienten, Fallstudie an drei einheimischen Arten der Heterophylla-Gruppe (Campanulaceae). Master's Thesis, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- GESLOT, A. 1984. Caryologie des *Campanula* subsect. *Heterophylla* (Wit.) Fed.: Nouvelles numérations chromosomiques dans les Pyrénées. *Phyton* 24: 173–191.
- HAMANN, E., H. KESSELRING, J. STÖCKLIN, AND G. F. J. ARMBRUSTER. 2014. Novel microsatellite markers for the high-alpine *Geum reptans* (Rosaceae). *Applications in Plant Sciences* 2: 1400021.
- HESS, E., E. LANDOLT, AND R. HIRZEL. 1980. *Flora der Schweiz*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Switzerland.
- KESSELRING, H., E. HAMANN, J. STÖCKLIN, AND G. F. J. ARMBRUSTER. 2013. New microsatellite markers for *Anthyllis vulneraria* (Fabaceae), analyzed with Spreadex gel electrophoresis. *Applications in Plant Sciences* 1: 1300054.
- LAUBER, K., AND G. WAGNER. 2007. *Flora Helvetica*, 4th ed. Verlag Haupt, Bern, Switzerland.
- ROQUET, C., L. SÁEZ, J. J. ALDASORO, A. SUSANNA, M. L. ALARCÓN, AND N. GARCIA-JACAS. 2008. Natural delineation, molecular phylogeny and floral evolution in *Campanula*. *Systematic Botany* 33: 203–217.
- SCHUELKE, M. 2000. An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. A poor man's approach to genotyping for research and high-throughput diagnostics. *Nature Biotechnology* 18: 233–234.
- STEVENS, C. J., J. WILSON, AND H. A. McALLISTER. 2012. Biological Flora of the British Isles: *Campanula rotundifolia*. *Journal of Ecology* 100: 821–839.
- VAN PUYVELDE, K., A. VAN GEERT, AND L. TRIEST. 2010. ATETRA, a new software program to analyse tetraploid microsatellite data: Comparison with TETRA and TETRASAT. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 10: 331–334.

APPENDIX 1. Voucher and location information for populations of *Campanula scheuchzeri* and *C. rotundifolia* used in this study. The voucher specimens are deposited in the Botanical Institute of the University of Basel, Switzerland.

| Species and population | Geographic coordinates | Altitude (m a.s.l.) | Voucher no. |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| <i>C. scheuchzeri</i> | | | |
| Fondei | 46°51'2.73"N, 9°45'46.53"E | 1950 | Sch-Fo (1–20) |
| Niessen | 46°38'34"N, 7°40'0"E | 1680 | Sch-N (1–20) |
| Furka | 46°34'33.85"N, 8°25'18.71"E | 2420 | Sch-Fu (1–20) |
| <i>C. rotundifolia</i> | | | |
| Blauen | 47°27'30.52"N, 7°31'52.48"E | 620 | Rot-BI (1–5) |
| Bonaduz | 46°48'49.11"N, 9°23'13.53"E | 660 | Rot-Bo (1–5) |